



townhall.virginia.gov

Periodic Review and Small Business Impact Review Report of Findings

Agency name	Department of Labor and Industry
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	16 VAC 25-20
VAC Chapter title(s)	Regulation Concerning Licensed Asbestos Contractor Notification, Asbestos Project Permits, and Permit Fees
Date this document prepared	August 24, 2023

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Order 19 (2022) (EO 19), any instructions or procedures issued by the Office of Regulatory Management (ORM) or the Department of Planning and Budget (DPB) pursuant to EO 19, the Regulations for Filing and Publishing Agency Regulations (1 VAC 7-10), and the *Form and Style Requirements for the Virginia Register of Regulations and Virginia Administrative Code*.

Acronyms and Definitions

Define all acronyms used in this Report, and any technical terms that are not also defined in the "Definitions" section of the regulation.

Department – Department of Labor and Industry

Legal Basis

Identify (1) the promulgating agency, and (2) the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulatory change, including the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia or Acts of Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable. Your citation must include a specific provision, if any, authorizing the promulgating agency to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency's overall regulatory authority.

Section 40.1-22(5) of the Code of Virginia mandates the Safety and Health Codes Board adopt standards that most adequately assure that no employee will suffer material impairment of health or functional capacity. Section 40.1-51.20 of the Code of Virginia mandates that all licensed asbestos contractors shall obtain an asbestos permit, pay the appropriate fees, and notify the Department of Labor and Industry prior to commencement of each asbestos project.

Alternatives to Regulation

Describe any viable alternatives for achieving the purpose of the regulation that were considered as part of the periodic review. Include an explanation of why such alternatives were rejected and why this regulation is the least burdensome alternative available for achieving its purpose.

The Department of Labor and Industry did not consider any alternatives because the requirements established by this regulation are mandated by § 40.1-51.20 of the Code of Virginia.

Public Comment

Summarize all comments received during the public comment period following the publication of the Notice of Periodic Review, and provide the agency’s response. Be sure to include all comments submitted: including those received on Town Hall, in a public hearing, or submitted directly to the agency. Indicate if an informal advisory group was formed for purposes of assisting in the periodic review.

No public comments were received on this regulation during the public comment period that began on May 23, 2022, and ended on June 13, 2022. The Department and the Safety and Health Codes Board did not establish an informal advisory group for the purpose of assisting in the periodic review.

Commenter	Comment	Agency response

Effectiveness

Pursuant to § 2.2-4017 of the Code of Virginia, indicate whether the regulation meets the criteria set out in the ORM procedures, including why the regulation is (a) necessary for the protection of public health, safety, and welfare, and (b) is clearly written and easily understandable.

This regulation has three goals:

- Reduce the incidence of material impairment of the health of Virginians due to exposure to asbestos in the workplace or as an environmental pollutant.
- Require asbestos contractors to notify the government of asbestos projects and pay fees to cover the costs of administering the program.
- Protect the public’s health, safety and welfare with the least possible cost and intrusiveness to the citizens and businesses of the Commonwealth.

The regulation protects the safety, health, and welfare of the public by monitoring the potential hazards associated with asbestos removal projects and controlling public exposure to

environmental hazards. It does so with the least possible cost and intrusiveness to the citizens and businesses of the Commonwealth. The regulation is clearly written and not overly complex.

Decision

Explain the basis for the promulgating agency’s decision (retain the regulation as is without making changes, amend the regulation, or repeal the regulation).

If the result of the periodic review is to retain the regulation as is, complete the ORM Economic Impact form.

The Safety and Health Codes Board voted to retain the regulation without change.

There is a continued need for this regulation as the requirements are mandated by state law. The regulation, as written, continues to protect the safety, health, and welfare of the public by monitoring and controlling the potential hazards associated with asbestos removal projects, with the least cost to citizens and businesses of the Commonwealth. No comments were received during this periodic review. The regulation is not overly complex and is clearly written. It does not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with state or federal laws or regulations, and there is no apparent negative impact on the regulated community.

Small Business Impact

As required by § 2.2-4007.1 E and F of the Code of Virginia, discuss the agency’s consideration of: (1) the continued need for the regulation; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the regulation; (3) the complexity of the regulation; (4) the extent to which the regulation overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with federal or state law or regulation; and (5) the length of time since the regulation has been evaluated or the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the regulation. Also, discuss why the agency’s decision, consistent with applicable law, will minimize the economic impact of regulations on small businesses.

As a result of this periodic review, the Department has determined that the regulation has no negative economic impact on small business.

There is a continued need for this regulation as the requirements are mandated by state law. The regulation, as written, continues to protect the safety, health, and welfare of the public by monitoring and controlling the potential hazards associated with asbestos removal projects, with the least cost to citizens and businesses of the Commonwealth. No comments were received during this periodic review. The regulation is not overly complex and is clearly written. It does not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with state or federal laws or regulations, and there is no apparent negative impact on the regulated community.

